ONBOARD VISUAL TRACKING FOR UAV’S

Summary. Target tracking is one of the most common research themes in Computer vision. Ideally, a tracking algorithm will only once receive information about the target to be tracked and will be fast enough to identify the target in the remaining frames, including when its location changes substantially from one frame to another. In addition, if the target disappears from the area of interest, the algorithm should be able to re-identify the desired target. Target tracking was done using a drone with a Jetson TX2 computer onboard. The program runs at the drone level without the need for data processing on another device. Cameras were attached to the drones using a gimbal that maintains a fixed shooting angle. Target tracking was accomplished by placing it in the centre of the image with the drone constantly adjusting to keep the target properly framed. To start tracking, a human operator must fit the target he wishes to follow in a frame. The functionality of this system is excellent for remote monitoring of targets.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The use of unmanned aircraft technology and search, rescue and surveillance sensors is not a new idea. We need to consider the number of operators required for a UAV system, a pilot to control, plan and monitor the drones and a co-pilot to operate the sensors and the flow of information. Because a man can focus on a limited number of tasks, a computerised system, which optimises the presentation of information and automates the data acquisition, is necessary.

To automate the acquisition of information suggests an attempt at integration of automatic video detection systems for people, cars, and ships. Data produced in areas affected by a disaster are georeferenced to support the presentation of information and humanitarian action. Using the coordinates of the place where a photo containing a target was done, the flight height and the position of the target in the picture, the coordinates of the target can be calculated.

The primary goal of target tracking is to determine the position of a selected target in a video. Based on the initial state of a target in the first frame, the target-tracking algorithm estimates the target position in subsequent frames. Many researchers have been studying the issue of targeting for years and have come up with many solutions. Nowadays, the main challenge comes from variations in lighting, occlusions, deformations, rotations, and so on.

2. METHODOLOGY

The Moving Target Indicator (MTI) is essential when the operator enlarges the area of interest to observe details during a target tracking operation. If the area is greatly enlarged, the operator will lose the overall image. This is often the case in monitoring missions where the operator must detect the moving object, then recognise it (for example, an area where the movement should be monitored). If more objects are moving, then all of them are detected by identifying the motion of the pixel group. With this information, the operator can focus his attention on the overall image and, if necessary, can enlarge the image to extract more information about the object. In addition, the operator can see all the moving objects, identify the direction of movement, the set of moving objects and make the necessary decisions. The motion detection part of the moving objects is the MTI tool's task.

In tracking, our goal is to find a target in the current frame that we have successfully tracked in previous frames. Based on the location and velocity (speed + direction of motion) of the target in the previous frames, it is possible to predict the new location from the current motion model with fair accuracy. Using vision tracking it is easy to know how the target looks in each of the previous frames, so an appearance model that encodes how the target looks like can be built. This appearance model can be used to search in a small neighbourhood of the location predicted by the motion model to more accurately predict the location of the target. A simple template can be used as an appearance model if the target is straightforward and does not change much in its appearance and look for that template. However, this simple approach is not applicable in real use-case scenarios because the appearance of a target can change dramatically. To tackle this problem, in many modern trackers, this appearance model is a classifier that is trained in an online manner.
In the following sections, a review of the available tracking algorithms will be expounded. Next, a proposal of a more efficient, energy-saving, moving model for the UAV to maintain the target in the camera FOV (Field of View) is highlighted. Afterwards, the results obtained from simulations and actual flights are presented and conclusions are drawn.

3. TRACKING ALGORITHMS BASED ON ADABOOST SELECTION OF FEATURES

One of the first tracking methods that can work in real-time was developed by Grabnet et al., and was based on a feature selection algorithm called ADABOOST, a name that comes from Adaptive Boosting, the algorithm that the HAAR cascade-based face detector uses internally. This classifier needs to be trained at runtime with positive and negative examples of the target. The initial bounding box supplied by the user is taken as the positive example for the target, and many image patches outside the bounding box are treated as the negative samples. For a new frame, the classifier is run on every patch about the previous location and the score of the classifier is recorded. The new location of the target is the one where the score is maximum. This is one more positive example for the classifier. As more frames come in, the classifier is updated with the additional data.

The basic idea for the ADABOOST algorithm is to combine a series of “weak” classifiers with different weights to achieve a "strong" classifier. Generally, binary decision trees or Nearest Neighbours are chosen as "weak" classification algorithms. Starting from M weak classifiers \( (h_1^{weak}, h_2^{weak}, ..., h_M^{weak}) \), a selector \( h_n^{sel} \) will select that “weak” classifier that minimises a given cost function:

\[
h_n^{sel}(x) = h_m^{weak}
\]

where \( m^{*} \) is the index of the minimum cost. The system consists of \( N \) selectors. The selector’s role is to determine the best “poor” classifier for each extracted feature type. Because of this, boosting algorithm is a feature selection algorithm.

Thus, the result of a “strong” classifier for a certain x patch is given by:

\[
h_{strong}(x) = \text{sign} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n h_n^{sel}(x) \right) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n h_n^{sel}(x) \geq 0 \\
-1 & \text{for} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n h_n^{sel}(x) < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where 1 represents the class of the target being tracked, and \(-1\) represents the class corresponding to the rest of the patches in the image and \( \alpha_n \) is the weight associated with the \( n^{th} \) selector and is calculated using the formula:

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log(1 - \epsilon_n)}{\epsilon_n}
\]

where \( \epsilon_n \) being the cost of the selector \( n \).
4. TRACKING ALGORITHMS BASED ON MULTIPLE INSTANCE LEARNING (MIL)

The MIL tracker has a similar approach when compared to the ADABOOST tracker described above. The main difference is that instead of considering only the current location of the target as a positive example, it looks in a small neighbourhood around the current location to generate several potential positive examples.

Tracking targets using the MIL technique has three main components: representation of the image, classification model, and motion model (2).

The classification model calculates the probabilities \( p(y = 1|x) \) and \( p(y = 0|x) \) where \( y = 1 \) and \( y = 0 \) represents the presence or absence of the target from the analysed patch. \( X \) is the vector of traits extracted from the analysed patch. At each moment in time, the search of the target is performed in the vicinity of the target location determined in the previous frame, which allows the creation of a motion model. Thus, the patches in the immediate vicinity of the previous location will be marked as positive examples, and those outside of the neighbourhood will be marked as negative examples.

Learning a classification model using the MIL technique involves the existence of a training set of form \( \{(X_1, y_1), \ldots, (X_n, y_n)\} \) where \( X_i \) is a subset of patches \( \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m}\} \) and \( y_i \) is the value \( (0/1) \) attached to this subset. Label \( y_i = 1 \) if there is at least one patch in the subset \( X_i \) considered as a positive example.

Thus, learning the classification model is equivalent to finding the parameters that maximise the following cost function:

\[
L = \sum_i \log p(y_i|X_i) \tag{4}
\]

In the above equation, it is considered:

\[
p(y_i|X_i) = 1 - \prod_j (1 - p(y_i|x_{i,j})) \tag{5}
\]

whereas conditional probabilities like \( p(y|x) \) are given by:

\[
p(y|x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-h_{\text{strong}}(x)}} \tag{6}
\]

where \( h_{\text{strong}}(x) \) is a "strong" classifier formed using the ADABOOST feature selection technique presented in the previous section.

As in the previous case, the system is trained in real-time and the user is only required to mark the object to be tracked on the first frame of the video.

5. TRACKING ALGORITHMS BASED ON CORRELATION FILTERS AND KERNEL METHOD

This type of trackers is built on the ideas presented in the previous two sections. This tracker utilises the fact that the multiple positive samples used in the MIL tracker have large overlapping regions. This overlapping data leads to some nice mathematical properties that were exploited to make tracking faster and more accurate at the same time. Kernelized Correlation Filtering (KCF) aims to learn models effectively without reducing the number of
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examples (3). Additionally, the Fourier transform, which converts a convolution operation between two signals into a multiplication of the Fourier transforms corresponding to the signals is used.

The purpose is to find a function \( f(x) = w^T x \) which minimises the mean square error between \( f(x_i) \) and patches values \( y_i \):

\[
\min_w \sum_i (f(x_i) - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2
\]  

(7)

The second term of the sum is used for regularisation and over-fitting control. The solution to a problem like the one above is:

\[
w = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} \cdot X^T y
\]  

(8)

where \( X \) is a matrix formed by the vectors \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \), and \( I \) is the identity matrix. \( y \) is a vector formed by the patch’s values \( y_i \). If the elements are complex numbers, \( w \) is calculated as:

\[
w = (X^H X + \lambda I)^{-1} \cdot X^H y
\]  

(9)

where \( X^H = (X^*)^T \) is conjugated–transposed matrices \( X \).

KCF takes into consideration that the training set may have redundancy – a negative example, \( x \) might be inserted into the dataset, along with its cyclic permutations.

In matrix form, the patches and their circular permutations can be written as

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_N \\ x_N & x_1 & \cdots & x_{N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]  

with \( x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_N] \) being the generator element. Such a matrix can be decomposed into:

\[
X = W \cdot \text{diag}(\text{DFT}(x)) \cdot W^H
\]  

(10)

where: \( W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} [w_{m,n}]_{m=0}^{N-1}_{n=0} \), with \( w_{m,n} = e^{-j2\pi mn/N} \), and \( \text{DFT}(x) = W \cdot x \). Thus, the term \( X^H X \) of equation (9) becomes:

\[
X^H X = W \cdot \text{diag}(\text{DFT}^*(x) \odot \text{DFT}(x)) \cdot W^H
\]  

(11)

where \( \odot \) is the item–element multiplication operation.

But \( \text{diag}(\text{DFT}^*(x) \odot \text{DFT}(x)) \) is the autocorrelation in the frequency domain, also known as the spectral power density.

It is known that for circular matrices, equation (9) is converted to:

\[
\text{DFT}(w) = \frac{\text{DFT}^*(x) \odot \text{DFT}(y)}{\text{DFT}^*(x) \odot \text{DFT}(x) + \lambda}
\]  

(12)

in which the fraction is calculated element-to-element.

Using the inverse discrete Fourier transform, the parameters are obtained as \( w = i \text{DFT}^{-1}(\text{DFT}(w)) \).

A test patch \( z \) is evaluated using the function \( f \):

\[
f(z) = w^T z
\]  

(13)
To make the process more efficient, the authors propose using the kernel method (3) that converts \( f(z) \) in:

\[
f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \kappa(z, x_i) \tag{14}
\]

in which \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \) are patches from the training set, \( \kappa(z, x_i) = \varphi^T(z) \cdot \varphi(x_i) \) is called kernel function and \( \varphi(x) \) is the patch-like vector \( x \) (for example, raw pixels or HOG – Histogram of Oriented Gradients).

If the drive data are circular permutations of the vector \( x \), then the coefficients \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N] \) are determined in the frequency domain as:

\[
DFT(\alpha) = \frac{DFT(y)}{DFT(k^{xx}) + \lambda} \tag{15}
\]

where \( k^{xx} \) is the first line of the kernel array of elements \( K_{ij} = \kappa(x_i, x_j) \), \( i, j = 1 \ldots N \), \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) being circular permutations of it.

For computational efficiency, transform \( f(z) \) using discrete Fourier:

\[
DFT(f(z)) = DFT(k^{xx}) \odot DFT(\alpha) \tag{16}
\]

The KCF technique is based on two procedures:
- train - based on the equation (15),
- detect - based on equation (16).

The two procedures are quick to perform and allow real-time tracking. Detection consists of applying a threshold of \( f(z) \) values calculated for each test patch.

If the kernel used is linear, then:

\[
k^{xx} = \text{IDFT} \left( \sum_c \text{DFT}^c(x_c) \odot \text{DFT}(x_c^z) \right) \tag{17}
\]

where \( x_c \) is the patch \( c \) channel \( x \) (for example, for RGB, we have 3 channels).

The tracking algorithm that uses this kernel is called the Dual Correlation Filter (DCF). From the point of view of the extracted features \( \varphi \), in (3), it is shown that the best results are obtained for the HOG descriptors.

### 6. TRACKING ALGORITHMS BASED ON ADAPTIVE CORRELATION FILTERS

Like KCF, these methods (denoted as CSRT) are based on discriminative correlation filters (4). In addition to other algorithms, that use the correlation filter technique, CSRT uses a spatial correction map that adjusts the spatial filter support to the parts of the target to be tracked.

In addition, a correction of the importance of elements in the patch vector was introduced. In the case of CSRT, the extracted features are HOG (27 values) and colour decoders (11 values).
7. TRACKING ALGORITHMS BASED ON MOSSE FILTERS

Minimum Output Sum of Squared Error (MOSSE) uses adaptive correlation for target tracking which produces stable correlation filters when initialised using a single frame. MOSSE tracker is robust to variations in lighting, scale, pose, and non-rigid deformations. It also detects occlusion based upon the peak-to-side lobe ratio, which enables the tracker to pause and resume when the target reappears.

Starting from a reduced set of images, we need a set of training pictures, \( f_i \), and their corresponding desired output \( g_i \). In order to simplify the computations, the filters are determined in the Fourier domain:

\[
H^*_i = \frac{G_i}{F_i}
\]  

(18)

In order to find the filter that links us correctly between the desired input and output, MOSSE assumes the minimisation of the quadratic error between the current output of the convolution between the input and the filter and the output that is to be obtained from the convolution.

\[
\min_H \sum_i |F_i \ast H^* - G_i|^2
\]  

(19)

8. TARGET MOTION MODEL

To determine the absolute position of the target, the position of the drone is needed and is obtained through serial communication between the autopilot (equipped with GPS and barometer) and the companion computer.
In the image above $x'O'y'$ is the EARTH fixed reference, and $xOy$ is drone reference. Drone current position in EARTH reference is $(x_0, y_0)$, $\theta$ being the drone heading from the North and $(x, y)$ target coordinates in drone reference (Fig. 2). Then the position of the target in EARTH reference is:

\[
\begin{align*}
x' &= x_0 + x \cdot \sin \theta - y \cdot \cos \theta \\
y' &= y_0 + y \cdot \sin \theta + x \cdot \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\] (20)

Using common mapping functions, these coordinates can be transformed in GPS coordinates and sent to autopilot as a new waypoint. The companion computer does this transformation and, using DRONEKIT software (5), send the command to the drone to move.

In order to minimise the energy consumed by the drone, the system first computes if the target movement is likely to get the target out of the FOV (Field of View) of the onboard camera. Only if this is true, a move command is sent to the drone, else the drone will remain in hover, tracking the target.

Using a stabilised gimbal, the camera is always pointed downward to the EARTH. Knowing the camera resolution, $FOV$, focal length $f$ and altitude of the drone $h$ we can compute image footprint on the ground $L_{imag} \times L_{imag}$, in cm/pixel.

From the image processing module, we get the target centre position in the frame (in pixels) $l_p$. Then, the position of the target in drone reference is given in Fig. 3:

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= h \cdot \tan \left( \frac{FOV L_p - L_{imag}/2}{2} \right) \\
y &= h \cdot \tan \left( \frac{FOV l_p - L_{imag}/2}{2} \right) \theta
\end{align*}
\] (21)

It is possible to estimate the speed of the target relative to the drone using several such computations over short time intervals. Next, using simple kinematics, a predicted target position can be obtained with:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{i+1} &= x_i + v_x \cdot \delta t \\
y_{i+1} &= y_i + v_y \cdot \delta t \\
v_x &= \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{\delta t} \\
v_y &= \frac{y_i - y_{i-1}}{\delta t}
\end{align*}
\] (22)
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It is possible to estimate the speed of the target relative to the drone using several such computations over short time intervals. Next, using simple kinematics, a predicted target position can be obtained with:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{i+1} &= x_i + v_x \delta t \\
    y_{i+1} &= y_i + v_y \delta t
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    v_x &= \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{\delta t} \\
    v_y &= \frac{y_i - y_{i-1}}{\delta t}
\end{align*}
\]

Predicted values obtained can be sanctioned by real values from the image tracking and prediction accuracy can be improved using an EKS (Extended Kalman Filter).

9. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

For the experiments, video captures where registered from the drone at various altitudes and illumination conditions, both the in urban and rural context.

The selected UAS is a quadcopter (Fig. 4) due to its agility in flight and mechanical simplicity, ability to keep flying over the target in a stable manner while taking pictures. The quadcopter is made entirely of carbon fibre, with 16 mm diameter tube arms, spacing between motors centres being 650 mm.

The central rig is divided into three levels and houses the whole system. At the top level, there is the image-processing unit, the NVIDIA Jetson TX2. On the second level is the Pixhawk autopilot, GPS, magnetometer unit, Wi-Fi antennas and radio telemetry modem. Level 3 houses the batteries. Under the bottom level is the gimbal with the Sony QX10 camera. The gimbal stabilises the camera in roll and pitch. A stabilisation system and an inertial measuring unit (IMU) that commands two brushless motors control the gimbal. The weight of the component parts is shown in the table below.
An 11000 mAh LiPo (Lithium-Polymer) battery was chosen to maximise the available electrical power while reducing weight. An electric motor 48-22-490 KV, combined with a 16x5.5 carbon fibre propeller, fulfilled the required autonomy condition.

The architecture of the electronic system is seen in Fig. 5. It consists of the Pixhawk autopilot, the companion computer, the Jetson TX2 and the Sony QX10 camera. Pixhawk is a very powerful autopilot, well suited for our project. It supports both human and fully automated flight, including navigation by GPS coordinates, camera control, takeoff and landing automatic. The video processing computer installed on the test drone is the Jetson TX2 (6) from NVIDIA, having the technical specifications in the table below.

### Tab. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Mass [kg]</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Mass [kg]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motors</td>
<td>0.39/piece</td>
<td>Autopilot</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Jetson TX2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimbal</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. Quadcopter](image)

![Fig. 5. System architecture](image)
Tab. 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companion computer specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ground control system consists of a laptop computer for command, control and monitoring of the unmanned aircraft. Mission Planner is an open-source ground control application for MAVlink based autopilots and can be run on Windows, Mac OSX, and Linux. Mission Planner allows us to set up an aeroplane, copter or rover to use an autopilot, plan, save missions, and view live flight information (Fig. 6).

![Mission Planner](image)

Fig. 6. Mission Planner

A second laptop computer runs the image operator console, which monitors the tracking image processing and is used for initial choosing of the target (Fig. 7).

10. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Implementation was done in Python with the OpenCV library. Nonetheless, there are several constraints to be considered. For example, CSRT supports an OpenCV version of more than 3.4, while the rest of the algorithms work with OpenCV 3.2.

The table below presents a series of experimental results obtained with the techniques presented in the previous paragraph. Experiments were performed on the HD video stream from the SONY QX10 on the companion computer Jetson TX2.
It was noted that the MOOSE algorithm manages to achieve the best processing rate. However, following the experiments, it has been demonstrated that CSRT tends to be more accurate in terms of precision but compared to MOOSE, it is slower.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Video resolution</th>
<th>FPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boosting</td>
<td>1280x720(HD)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL</td>
<td>1280x720(HD)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCF</td>
<td>1280x720(HD)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRT</td>
<td>1280x720(HD)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOOSE</td>
<td>1280x720(HD)</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below, in Figs. 8 and 9, some snapshots were presented during experiments on HD video. The green dial in HD images shows a successful tracking of user-marked targets. The “Vertical”, “Horizontal”, “Up” and “Down” labels in blue are the directions in which the drone should move to keep the target in FOV.
11. CONCLUSIONS

Figure 9 shows that the proposed method can track the target with good performance. When light changes in Fig. 9 or occlusion occur, the accuracy rate of the proposed method is nearly 100% if the allowable error threshold is greater than 15 pixels. When deformation occurs, the accuracy rate of the proposed method is nearly 100% if the allowable error threshold is greater than 5 pixels. In practical application, the allowable error threshold of 5 pixels or 15 pixels has almost no influence on tracking. The experiment shows that the proposed method fulfils the requirement of tracking a moving target.
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