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1. INTRODUCTION

The dynamic properties of motion, stability and vehicle handling, to a large extent, ensure the safety of traffic. At present, the problem of determining the conditions of dynamic system stability is sufficiently studied. However, the challenge is to define the nature of the system’s behaviour in the state of instability and identify the causes of its occurrence.

The main parameters of any vehicle (indicators of its ability to perform its functions) are the overall dimensions, mass parameters, speed and dynamic characteristics of transportation, etc. Passenger capacity and turnover, acceleration dynamics, stability, handling and especially manoeuvrability are highly important factors in the operation of city buses. In most countries, according to UN/ECE Regulation No. 36, the overall length of single buses is limited to 12 m, although there are combinations with a length of up to 15 m and articulated ones up to 18 m [1].

The normalized indexes of manoeuvrability of large-class buses (HCBs) can be developed due to appropriate layout schemes and the use of directive (self-installing) wheels in the trailer section (trailer), which can be driven by an electric motor located in the coupling section. In [2], the required power of the electric motor, which is installed in the trailer section of the bus, is determined under the conditions of starting from the following: rest, rectilinear motion and circular motion. However, the retrofitting of the bus with an additional engine located in the trailer section requires verification of its stability in order to ensure a guaranteed level of its external passive safety.

The creation of requirements for guaranteeing the required level of the external passive safety of passenger vehicles in conditions of overturning began in 1973 after a terrible bus rollover accident. This led Hungary to raise this issue for the first time at the ECE Summit in Geneva [3]. It took 12 years to create the relevant regulatory requirements until the first revision of UN/ECE Regulation R66 appeared. The main problem was to create an adequate research method for the real rollover process. Based on this method, the strong spatial structure of the body can be easily distinguished from the weak one [4]. The reduction in the strength of the body spatial structure degrades passenger safety indexes [5].

A forklift truck or a truck crane acts as the test equipment for lifting the platform. It must ensure the simultaneous raising of axes with the difference in the platform inclination angles measured below these axes being less than 1°. In the case of separate platforms (Figure 1a, c-d), the synchronization of the lift is provided by two automobile cranes.

An additional requirement for the test equipment is the simultaneity of lifting all the model axes without rocking and dynamic impact until the model rolls over, with an angular speed up to 5°/s (0.087 rad/s). According to the results obtained in various testing laboratories, this index varies in the range from 0.64 to 5°/s.

The indexes of stability assessment are the critical values of motion parameters characterizing the dynamic stability and the positions characterizing the static stability of the car and the auto-train [3]. Recommended values of the vehicles’ stability indexes and the methods of their determination are given in UN/ECE Regulation No. 107, MS ISO 4188-82, GOST 3163-76, GOST R 52302-2004, OST 37.001.471-88, OST 37.001.487-89, DSTU 3310-96.
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Motor cars and small trucks can be easily tested by a turnover testing unit, while testing heavy trucks and especially auto-trains, as well as HCBs, incurs great difficulties, in particular, in the case of hinge-connected hybrid buses of a specifically large class with an active trailer. Thus, the purpose of the work is to determine the indexes of transverse stability of HCBs by the computation-analytical method [25].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The application of the computation-analytical method for determining the parameters of the HCB’s motion in the horizontal and vertical planes greatly simplifies the definition of these indexes [5].

Numerous studies have proven that there are mutual non-linear relationships between the variables characterizing auto-trains’ movement in the horizontal and vertical planes; the relations in different cases of motion are different. The practice of studying the motion stability of both single vehicles and auto-trains in different regimes is extensive [6,7,24,26,27].

In this paper, the HCB’s movement in the vertical plane along the angles of pitch (pitch, trim) and the roll are assumed to affect the lateral movement, mainly by changing the vertical loads on the wheels. The vertical reactions of the support surface are changed as well. In accordance with this concept, the movements were divided into lateral and longitudinal-transverse ones. Nowadays, the lateral movement is sufficiently studied [5-7], but the longitudinal-transverse motion should be investigated further. The spring and unsprung parts of the HCB’s real construction are assumed to be connected with the help of elastic and damping elements, while the unsprung masses and the road are assumed to be connected with the help of tyres that possess both elastic and damping properties. At low velocities, the vertical displacements of spring and unsprung masses are carried out synchronously. In this case, there should be a static compression of the springs and tyres at low resistance of the shock absorbers [8]. Similarly, the spring masses are assumed to carry oscillations on the springs with some stiffness.

According to this approach, the forces acting on the support-coupling device do not affect the redistribution of loads on the sides of the HCB. The axis of the roll lies in the vertical
plane of symmetry. Therefore, a complex system such as an HCB can be considered as two systems that independently roll: a bus and a trailer section (trailer). Thus, the appearance and the analysis of the equations describing the roll are simplified.

Let us first formulate the equation of HCB parts’ motion in the longitudinal and transverse planes [9]. For this purpose, the following notations are introduced: $2m_{ai}, 2m_{bj}$ - unsprung masses of the $i^{th}$ front and $j^{th}$ rear suspensions; $c_{li'i}, c_{lj'i}, c_{li'iu}, c_{lj'iu}$ - respectively, radial stiffness of suspensions and tyres; $f_{ai}, f_{bj}$ - static deflections of suspensions; $\lambda_{ai}^o, \lambda_{bj}^o$ - vertical deformations of tyres; $F_{ai}^o, F_{bj}^o$ - vertical reactions of the $i^{th}$ front and $j^{th}$ rear supports on the bus body; $z_0, l_{ai}, l_{bj}$ - the height of the location of corresponding points $C, C_{ai}$ and $C_{bj}$ (Figure 1) above the support surface in undeformed suspensions and tyres, $\varphi$ - the angle of assembly of the train. In a state of static equilibrium, the applicates of these points are defined as in [9]; see Figure 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_{ai}^o &= l_{ai} - (f_{ai} + \lambda_{ai}^o) = z_o + a_i \times tg\varphi, \\
  z_{bi}^o &= l_{bi} - (f_{bj} + \lambda_{bj}^o) = z_o - b_j \times tg\varphi, \\
  \end{align*}
\]

(1)

Based the condition of forces equilibrium (Figure 1), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
  F_{ai}^o + m_{ai} \times q &= F_{liu}^o; \\
  F_{bj}^o + m_{bj} \times q &= F_{2juu}^o, \\
  \end{align*}
\]

(2)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
  F_{ai}^o &= F_{ai}^o (f_{ai}), \\
  F_{bj}^o &= F_{bj}^o (f_{bj}), \\
  F_{liu}^o &= F_{liu} (\lambda_{ai}^o), \\
  F_{2juu}^o &= F_{2juu} (\lambda_{bj}^o). \\
  \end{align*}
\]

(3)

Since a piecewise linear approximation is assumed in the recorded functions, then:

\[
\begin{align*}
  F_{ai}^o &= c_{liu} \times f_{ai}, \\
  F_{bj}^o &= c_{lj'iu} \times f_{bj}, \\
  F_{liu}^o &= c_{liu} \times \lambda_{ai}^o, \\
  F_{2juu}^o &= c_{2juu} \times \lambda f_{bj}^o. \\
  \end{align*}
\]

(4)

Fig. 2. On determining the state of the bus’ static equilibrium
Then, based on (4) and (2), the expressions of the tyres’ vertical deformations are deduced:

\[ \lambda_{ai}^o = \frac{c_{1i} \times f_{ai} + m_{ai} \times g}{c_{1iu}} \quad \lambda_{obj}^o = \frac{c_{2j} \times f_{bj} + m_{bj} \times g}{c_{2jua}} \]  

(5)

Based on (1) and (5), the formulae are developed thus:

\[ f_{ai} = \frac{l_{ai} - m_{ai} \times g}{c_{1iu}} - z_o - a_i \times \frac{1}{2} t g \psi_o \]  

\[ f_{bj} = \frac{l_{bj} - m_{bj} \times g}{c_{2jua}} - z_o + b_j \times \frac{1}{2} t g \psi_o \]  

(6)

Substituting (5) with (4):

\[ F_{ai}^o = q_{ai} (l_{ai} - \frac{m_{ai} \times g}{c_{1iu}} - z_o - a_i \times \frac{1}{2} t g \psi_o) \]  

\[ F_{bj}^o = q_{bj} (l_{bj} - \frac{m_{bj} \times g}{c_{2jua}} - z_o + b_j \times \frac{1}{2} t g \psi_o) \]  

(7)

where \( q_{ai} = \frac{c_{1i} \times c_{1iu}}{c_{1i} + c_{1iu}} \); \( q_{bj} = \frac{c_{2j} \times c_{2jua}}{c_{2j} + c_{2jua}} \)  

(8)

The stiffness of two series-connected elastic elements, a suspension and tyres is given (Figure 2):

The equilibrium equation of the traction car body [9] (Figure 3) is:

\[ \sum_K (\bar{F}_K)_{z_o} = 0; m o m c_q \sum F_K = 0 \]  

In an expanded form, it is presented as:

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (F_{ai}^o + F_{ai}^{1o}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (F_{bj}^o + F_{bj}^{1o}) - mg - Z^{(1)}_o = 0 \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (F_{ai}^o + F_{ai}^{1o}) a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (F_{bj}^o + F_{bj}^{1o}) b_j + Z_o c = 0 \]  

(9)

and

\[ F_{ai}^o = F_{ai}^{1o} \quad F_{bj}^o = F_{bj}^{1o} \]

Substituting (7) with (9), the following formulae [9] are deduced:

\[ z_o (\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} q_{ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} q_{bj}) + (\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} a_i q_{ai} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} b_j q_{bj}) \frac{1}{2} t g \psi_o + \frac{Z^{(1)}_o}{2} = \]

\[ = -\frac{mg}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} q_{ai} (l_{ai} - \frac{m_{ai} \times g}{c_{1iu}}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} q_{bj} (l_{bj} - \frac{m_{bj} \times g}{c_{2jua}}); \]
The values $Z_o, \psi_o$ and $Z'^{(1)}_o$ are unknown in (10).

Let us derive the dynamic equations of a tractor. By analogy with (2), vertical reactions of the supporting surface (Figure 3) are found:

\[ Z_{ii} = c_{1iu} \lambda_{ai} = F_{ai} + m_{ai} g, \]
\[ Z_{2j} = c_{2ju} \lambda_{bj} = F_{bj} + m_{bj} g \]

where:

\[ \lambda_{ai} = \frac{F_{ai} + m_{ai} g}{c_{1iu}}, \quad \lambda_{bj} = \frac{F_{bj} + m_{bj} g}{c_{2ju}} \]

When the system deviates from the state of the static equilibrium, the restoring forces of the suspensions’ elastic elements are equal to:
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\[
F_{ai} = c_{ui}(l_{ai} - z_{ai} - \lambda_{ai}) = c_{ui}(z_{ai}^0 + f_{ai} - \lambda_{ai}) + \lambda_{ai}^0;
\]
\[
F_{bj} = c_{uj}(l_{bj} - z_{bj} - \lambda_{bj}) = c_{uj}(z_{bj}^0 + f_{bj} - \lambda_{bj}) + \lambda_{bj}^0;
\]

After the exclusion of the vertical deformations of tyres \( \lambda_{ai}, \lambda_{bj} \) (13) on the basis of (12), as well as the values \( l_{ai}, l_{bj} \) on the basis of (1), we obtain:

\[
F_{ai} = c_{ui} f_{ai} + q_{ui}(z_{ai}^0 - z_{ai});
\]
\[
F_{bj} = c_{uj} f_{bj} + q_{uj}(z_{bj}^0 - z_{bj}).
\]

Factoring in the known \( z_{ai}, z_{bj} \) or \( F_{ai}, F_{bj} \), the vertical reactions on the wheels of the left side are written thus:

\[
Z_{ui} = Z_{ui}^0 + q_{ui}(z_{ai}^0 - z_{ai}), (i = 1,2,..n);
\]
\[
Z_{2j} = Z_{2j}^0 + q_{2j}(z_{bj}^0 - z_{bj}), (j = 1,2,..n),
\]

where:

\[
Z_{ui}^0 = c_{ui} f_{ai} + m_{ai}g,
\]
\[
Z_{2j}^0 = c_{2j} f_{bj} + m_{bj}g
\]

By analogy with (15), the vertical reactions on the wheels of the right side are written as:

\[
Z_{ui}^0 = Z_{ui}^0 + q_{ui}(z_{ai}^0 - z_{ai}'), (i = 1,2,..n);
\]
\[
Z_{2j}^0 = Z_{2j}^0 + q_{2j}(z_{bj}^0 - z_{bj}'), (j = 1,2,..n),
\]

Vertical reactions of the road cloth are considered in three equations of the bus body (Figure 3):

\[
m_{\vec{z}} = \sum_s (F_s)_{z_0};
\]
\[
I_{\vec{y}} = \text{mom}_{e_{xy}} \sum_s F_s;
\]
\[
I_{X_{xy}} = \text{mom}_{e_{xy}} \sum_s F_s,
\]

where: \( I, I_{xy} \) - moments of the tractor inertia about the transverse and longitudinal axes passing through the tractor’s centre of mass (point C).

The following notations are entered:

\[
\bar{x}_{ui} = x_{ui} \cos \theta_i + y_{ui} \sin \theta_i;
\]
\[
\bar{y}_{ui} = -x_{ui} \sin \theta_i + y_{ui} \cos \theta_i;
\]
\[
\bar{x}_{ui} = x_{ui} \cos \theta_i' + y_{ui} \sin \theta_i';
\]
\[
\bar{y}_{ui} = -x_{ui} \sin \theta_i' + y_{ui} \cos \theta_i'.
\]

Based on Figure 4, the following dynamic equations, which describe the vertical displacement of the tractor’s centre of mass, rocking and roll, are developed [9]:

\[
m_{\vec{z}} = -Z^{(1)} - mg + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (F_{ai} + F_{ai}^i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (F_{bj} + F_{bj}^j);
\]
Taking into account expressions (6) and (7), the expressions for determining the reactions of suspensions of the bus body are presented [9]:

\[
I \ddot{\psi} = Z^{(1)}(\dot{e} - X^{(1)}(z_{a1} - z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (F_{ai} + F_{ai}')b_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\ddot{X}_{ai} + \ddot{X}_{ai}') + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (X_{2j} + X_{2j}')]z + \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [F_{ai}(a_i - \varepsilon \sin \theta_i) + F_{ai}'(a_i + \varepsilon \sin \theta_i)];
\]

\[
I_{xo} \ddot{\gamma} = -Y^{(1)}(z_{a1} - z) + (H + \varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (F_{bj} - F_{bj}') + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} [F_{ai}(H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i) - F_{ai}'(H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i) + \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (Y_{bi} + Y_{bi}') + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} + Y_{2j}')]z.
\]

(18)

In the expression that determines the vertical reaction in the traction coupling unit, the static and dynamic components are defined:

\[
F_{ai} = F_{ai}^o + q_{ai}(z_{ai}^o - z_{ai}), \quad F_{ai}' = F_{ai}^o + q_{ai}(z_{ai}^o - z_{ai}), \\
F_{bj} = F_{bj}^o + q_{2j}(z_{bj}^o - z_{bj}), \quad F_{bj}' = F_{bj}^o + q_{2j}(z_{bj}^o - z_{bj}).
\]

(19)

In the expression that determines the vertical reaction in the traction coupling unit, the static and dynamic components are defined:

\[
Z^{(1)} = Z^o + \ddot{Z}_{ai}
\]

(20)
Based on the static equations (6) and expressions (19) and (20), the dynamic equations (18) can be represented as [9,20]:

\[
m_z^{(1)} = -\ddot{z}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} a_{1i} [2z_{ai}^{\alpha} - (z_{ai} + z_{ai}')] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} q_{2j} [2z_{bj}^{\alpha} - (z_{bj} + z_{bj}')] ;
\]

\[
I \ddot{\theta} = c \ddot{Z}_t + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} a_{1i} [2z_{ai}^{\alpha} - (z_{ai} + z_{ai}')] - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} b_{1j} q_{2j} [2z_{bj}^{\alpha} - (z_{bj} + z_{bj}')] - \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\ddot{X}_{ai} + \ddot{X}_{ai}') + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (X_{2j} + X_{2j}') \ddot{z} - X^{(1)}(z_{ai} - z) - \\
-\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \{F_{ai}^{\alpha} (\sin \theta_i - \sin \theta_i') + q_{1i} [z_{ai}^{\alpha} (\sin \theta_i - \sin \theta_i') - (z_{ai} \sin \theta_i - z_{ai}' \sin \theta_i')] \};
\]

\[
I_{X_0} \ddot{\gamma} = -Y^{(1)}(z_{ai} - z) + (H + \varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} q_{2j} [\ddot{z}_{bj} - \dot{z}_{bj}] + H \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} q_{1i} (\dot{z}_{ai} - \dot{z}_{ai}) + \\
+\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \{F_{ai}^{\alpha} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_i') + q_{1i} [z_{ai}^{\alpha} (\cos \theta_i - \cos \theta_i') - (z_{ai} \cos \theta_i - z_{ai}' \cos \theta_i')] \} + \\
+\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\ddot{F}_{ai} + \ddot{F}_{ai}') + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} + Y_{2j}');
\]

By analogy with (1) and taking into account Figure 2, for the applicates of the point \(C_{ai}, \ C_{ai}', \ C_{bj}, \ C_{bj}'\), the following formulae are developed:

\[
z_{ai} = z + a_{1i} \gamma \psi + (H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i') \gamma \gamma \cos \psi ,
\]

\[
z_{ai}' = z + a_{1i} \gamma \psi - (H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i') \gamma \gamma \cos \psi ,
\]

\[
z_{bj} = z - b_{1j} \gamma \psi + (H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i') \gamma \gamma \cos \psi ,
\]

\[
z_{bj}' = z - b_{1j} \gamma \psi - (H + \varepsilon \cos \theta_i') \gamma \gamma \cos \psi .
\]

According to (22):

\[
z_{ai} + z_{ai}' = 2(z + a_{1i} \gamma \psi) + \varepsilon (\cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_i') \gamma \gamma \cos \psi ;
\]

\[
z_{ai} - z_{ai}' = -2(H + \varepsilon) \gamma \gamma \cos \psi ;
\]

\[
z_{bj} + z_{bj}' = 2(z - b_{1j} \gamma \psi) ;
\]

\[
z_{bj}' - z_{bj} = -2(H + \varepsilon) \gamma \gamma \cos \psi .
\]

In accordance with the considered method and Figure 5, the equation of the trailer body motion is written as:

\[
m_2 \ddot{z}_{c2} = -Z^{(1)} - m_2 g + F_{as} + F_{as}' ;
\]

\[
I_x \ddot{\theta}_2 = Z^{(1)} d - (\ddot{X}_z + \ddot{X}_z') z_{c2} + (F_{as} + F_{as}') a_z ;
\]

\[
I_{Y_2} \ddot{\phi} = -Y^{(1)}(z_{o2} - z_{c2}) + (F_{as} - F_{as}') (H + \varepsilon) + \{\ddot{V}_{as} + \ddot{Y}_{as}'\} z_{c2} ,
\]

where:

\[
\ddot{X}_z = X_z \cos \phi - Y_z \sin \phi; \quad \ddot{Y}_2 = Y_z \cos \phi - Y_z \sin \phi .
\]
Fig. 5. Scheme of forces acting on the trailer in the state of the static (a) and dynamic equilibrium (b)

Equations (21) and (24) describe the movement of an auto-train in a vertical plane (longitudinal and transverse) and possess six unknowns: the generalizing coordinates z, ψ, γ, ψ2, γ2 and the dynamic component $\tilde{Z}(1)$ of the vertical reaction in the traction coupling unit. After the exclusion of the latter, an equation for determining the generalizing coordinates of the auto-train is deduced. In this case, based on generalizing coordinates, the expressions for $z_{C1}$, $z_{C2}$, $z_{o1}$, $z_{o2}$ (Figure 5) are written

$$
\begin{align*}
&h_{o1} = z_o - c \sin \psi + h \cos \psi; \\
&h_{o2} = h_{c1} - c_1 \sin \psi_2 - h_2 \cos \psi_2; \\
&z_{o1} = z - c \sin \psi + h \cos \psi; \\
&z_{o2} = z_{c1} - c_1 \sin \psi_2 - h_2 \cos \psi_2; \\
&z_{c2} = z - c \sin \psi + h \cos \psi + h_3 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \cos \psi_2.
\end{align*}
$$

(25)

The value $\tilde{Z}(1)$ is derived from the first equation (24). Considering (25):

$$
\tilde{Z}(1) = m_2 \ddot{z} - m_2 \dot{\psi}(c \cos \psi + h \sin \psi) - m_2 \dot{\psi}_2^2 (h_3 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2).
$$

(26)

The final motion equations of unsprung masses of an auto-train are written as:

- by variable $z$

$$
(m_1 + m_2) \ddot{z} + (m_1 + m_2) \dot{\psi}(c \cos \psi + h \sin \psi) + m_2 \dot{\psi}_2^2 (h_3 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2) =
= (m_1 + m_2) \dot{\psi}_2^2 (h_3 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} q_i [z''_{oi} - z -
- a_i t \psi - \frac{e}{2} (\cos \theta - \cos \theta') \cos \psi tg \gamma + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} q_j (z''_{oj} - z - b_j t \psi) +
+ 2 \sum_{\rho=1}^{\kappa} c_{\rho} \psi_{10} [h_{c1} - h_{c1} - h_{c1} (t \psi_{10} - t \psi_{1})] + 2 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\mu} q_{\kappa} [z''_{o\kappa} - z_{c2} - b_2 t \psi_2 -
- \frac{e_2}{2} \cos \psi_2] \psi_{2}].
$$
by variable $\psi$

\[-(m_1 + m_2) \dot{z}^2 + [I + (m_1 + m_2)c(e \times \cos \psi + h \sin \psi)] \ddot{\psi} + m_2 c \dot{\psi}^2 (d_2 \cos \psi_2 + h_2 \sin \psi_2) =
\]
\[= -c(m_1 + m_2) \dot{\psi}^2 (h \cos \psi - c \sin \psi) - m_2 c(h_1 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2) \dot{\psi}_2^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{g1} a_i \dot{q}_i [z_i^{o} - \dot{z} - a_i g \psi - \frac{c}{2} \cos \psi \tan \gamma] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} b_{j2} (z_{j2}^{o} - \dot{z} - \dot{z}_j \tan \gamma) - 2c \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j1,2} (h_1 - z_{j1}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{g4} q_{i2} [z_{i2}^{o} - \dot{z}_{i2} - b_{i2} \tan \gamma - \frac{c}{2} \cos \psi \tan \gamma] - X^{(1)} (h \cos \psi - c \sin \psi) - z \sum_{i=1}^{3} (X_{ii} + X_{i1}^{'}) + (X_2 + X_2^{'}) ;
\]

by variable $\gamma$

\[I_{X_1 \gamma} = -Y^{(1)} (h \cos \psi - c \sin \psi) - 2H \sum_{i=1}^{g1} q_{ii} [H + \frac{c}{2} (\cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta'_1)] \cos \psi \tan \gamma - 2c \sum_{j=1}^{3} q_{j2} (H + c) \cos \psi \tan \gamma + z[(Y_{11} + Y_{11}^{'}) + (Y_{21} + Y_{21}^{'})] + c \sum_{i=1}^{g4} F_{i1} (\cos \theta - \cos \theta') + q_{ii} [z_i^{o} (\cos \theta - \cos \theta')] + Z_i^{'} \cos \theta' - Z_i^{o} \cos \theta ;
\]

by variable $\psi_2$

\[-m_2 d_2 \dot{z}^2 + m_2 d_2 (c \times \cos \psi + h \sin \psi)] \ddot{\psi} + [I_2 + m_2 d_2 (d_2 \cos \psi_2 + h_2 \sin \psi_2)] \ddot{\psi}_2 =
\]
\[= -m_2 d_2 \dot{\psi}^2 (h \cos \psi - c \sin \psi) - m_2 d_2 (h_2 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2) \dot{\psi}_2^2 - (h_2 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2)X^{(2)} - 2(b_{21} + d_2)q_{b21} \times [z_{b21}^{o} - \dot{z}_{b21} - b_{21} \tan \gamma] - \frac{c}{2} \cos \theta - \cos \theta'_1) \times \cos \psi \tan \gamma_2 ;
\]

by variable $\gamma_2$

\[I_{X_2 \gamma} = z_{c2} (Y_{12} + Y_{12}^{'}) + (h_2 \cos \psi_2 - d_2 \sin \psi_2) \dot{\psi}_2 (2) - 2H \cos \psi_2 \tan \gamma_2 \times q_{b21} , \tag{27}
\]

The equations (27) describing the motion of the HCB parts in the vertical plane (longitudinal and transverse) are applied in order to find the indexes of the HCB’s transverse stability. In the case of steady motion, the problem is reduced to the analysis of finite equations (the values of the roll angles and the redistribution of loads along the sides are constant). For this purpose, the equation of dynamic equilibrium relative to the points K, K2, K4 is developed; see Figure 6.

The formulae are developed [9]:

\[mom_{K0} = -P_a (0,5H + c - h \sin \gamma_0) + F (z_0 + c \tan \gamma + h \cos \gamma) + (F_{A1} + F_{B1})H = 0
\]
\[mom_{K2} = -P_a (0,5H_2 + c_2 - h_2 \sin \gamma_2) + F_2 (z_{a2} + c_2 \tan \gamma_2 + h_2 \cos \gamma_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} F_{j2}' H_2 ) = 0
\]
Fig. 6. On determining the forces acting on the bus (trailer) in a steady motion

In the expanded form:

\[
\begin{align*}
-P_n(0.5H + \varepsilon - h \sin \gamma_o) + F(z_t \tan \gamma + h \cos \gamma) + H(q_A^1(I_A - m_A g) / C_{u11} - z_o) + \\
0.5H \tan \gamma + q_{B1}^1(I_{B1} - m_{B1} g) / (C_{u11} + C_{u12}) - z_o + 0.5H \tan \gamma = \\
= [F \varepsilon - 0.5H^2(q_A^1 + q_{B1}^1)]g \gamma + P_n h \sin \gamma - P_n(0.5H + \varepsilon) + F(z_o + h \cos \gamma) + \\
+H[(l - z_o)(q_A^1 + q_{B1}^1) - q_A^1 m_A g / C_{u11} - q_{B1}^1 m_{B1} g / (C_{u11} + C_{u12})] = 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

If we assume that \( t g \gamma_o \approx \gamma_o \), \( t g \gamma_2 \approx \gamma_2 \), \( H_1 \approx H \), then the other equations of a system (17) and (19) can be applied to determine the static values of the roll angles of the train’s parts:

\[
\gamma_o = \frac{-P_n(0.5H + \varepsilon) + H[(l - z_o)(q_A + q_{B1}) - q_A m_A g / C_{u11} - q_{B1} m_{B1} g / (C_{u11} + C_{u12})]}{0.5H^2(q_A + q_{B1})} \\
= [l - z_o - [0.5P_n + P_n \varepsilon + H + q_A m_A g / C_{u11} + q_{B1} m_{B1} g / (C_{u11} + C_{u12})] / (q_A + q_{B1})] \times \frac{2}{H},
\]

\[
\gamma_2^{(2)} = \frac{-P_n(0.5H_2 + \varepsilon_2) + H_2[l_2 - z_o^{(2)}(q_{B21} + q_{B22}) - q_{B21} m_{B21} g / C_{u21} - q_{B22} m_{B22} g / (C_{u22} + C_{u23})]}{0.5H_2^2(q_{B21} + q_{B22})} \\
[l_2 - z_o^{(2)} - [0.5P_{n2} \varepsilon + H_2 + q_{B21} m_{B21} g / C_{u21} + q_{B22} m_{B22} g / (C_{u22} + C_{u23})] / (q_{B21} + q_{B22})] \times \frac{2}{H_2},
\]

(29)

In order to define the dynamic values of the roll angles \( \gamma \), \( \gamma_2 \), the difference between the corresponding equations (29) and the last system equations (17) should be considered. Then,

\[
\text{mom}_{k_{x0}}^F - \text{mom}_{k_{x0}} = F \varepsilon \tan \gamma - 0.5H^2(q_A + q_{B1}) (tg \gamma - tg \gamma_o) + F(z_o + h \cos \gamma) + \\
+ P h (\sin \gamma - \sin \gamma_o) = \gamma [F \varepsilon - 0.5H^2(q_A + q_{B1}) + 0.5H^2 \gamma_o (q_A + q_{B1}) + F(z_o + h) = 0,
\]
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\[ \text{mom}_{K2}^F - \text{mom}_{K2}^2 = F_2 e_2 t g \gamma_2 - 0.5H_z^2(q_{B21} + q_{B22})(t g \gamma_2 - t g \gamma_o^{(2)}) + F_z^2(z_o^{(2)} + h_2 \cos \gamma_2) + F_2^2 \gamma_o^{(2)}(q_{B21} + q_{B22}) + F_2^2(z_o^{(2)} + h_2) = 0, \]

Thus:

\[ \gamma = \gamma_o^{(2)}0.5H_z^2(q_A + q_{B1}) + F(Z_o + h), \]

\[ F_{\text{mom}} + 0.5H_z^2(q_A + q_{B1}) \]

\[ \gamma_2 = \frac{\gamma_o^{(2)}0.5H_z^2(q_{B21} + q_{B22}) + F_2(Z_o^{(2)} + h_2)}{-F_2 e_2 + 0.5H_z^2(q_{B21} + q_{B22})}. \] (30)

The dynamic components of the vertical reactions in the supports, which are defined by the roll angles \( \gamma \) and \( \gamma_o \), \( \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_o^{(2)} \) (loading and unloading), are determined:

- for the left side

\[ \Delta G_i = q(\gamma - \gamma_0)H/2; \quad \Delta G_{i1} = q_{i1}(\gamma - \gamma_0)H_{i1}/2; \quad \Delta G_2 = q_2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_o^{(2)})H_{i2}/2; \]

- for the right side

\[ \Delta G^i = q^i(\gamma - \gamma_0)H/2; \quad \Delta G^i_{1i} = q^i_{1i}(\gamma - \gamma_0)H_{1i}/2; \quad \Delta G^i_2 = q^i_2(\gamma_2 - \gamma_o^{(2)})H_{i2}/2. \]

Consequently, the dynamic loads, with consideration of the side redistribution, can be written as:

\[ G_i = G_{i0} - \Delta G_i; \quad G_{i1} = G_{i10} - \Delta G_{i1}; \quad G_2 = G_2^0 - \Delta G_2; \]

\[ G_i^0 = G_{i0} - \Delta G_i^0; \quad G_{i1}^0 = G_{i10} - \Delta G_{i1}^0; \quad G_2^0 = G_2^0 - \Delta G_2^0. \] (31)

Equations (31) are the basis for calculating the values of loading and unloading of the wheels of the bus and the trailer.

The determination of the stability indexes of buses, including the articulated ones, is based on some assumptions: the bus is fully loaded; the mobility of passengers is absent; and the entire unsprung mass acts as a solid body [8].

Output data for calculating the stability of an articulated bus (Figure 7) are accepted: the total weight of the auto-train - 25,600 kg; the load on the support-coupling device of the tractor - 1,990 kg; the total stiffness of the suspension of the bus front wheels - 640 kN/m, rear wheels - 950 kN/m, trailer wheels - 840 kN/m, tyre stiffness - 1,250 kN/m; geometric parameters of the auto-train: length - 17,500 mm, width - 2,460 mm, height - 3,585 mm; geometric parameters of the bus: length - 10,000 mm, width - 2,460 mm, height - 3,585 mm; geometric parameters of the connected part: length - 7,500 mm, width - 2,460 mm; the wheel track of the tractor - 1,850 mm, the trailer - 1,850 mm; spring wheels of a tractor: front wheels - 750 mm, rear wheels - 1,250 mm; the connected part - 1,200 mm; the suspension stiffness of the bus front wheels \( C_{p1} = 320 \) kN/m, rear wheels - 480 kN/m, trailer wheels - 430 kN/m; the type and size of tyres of the car-tractor and semi-trailer: 12.00 - R20, the static radius of the wheel - 0.525 m, the stiffness - 1,250 kN/m [10].
In Figure 8, the results from calculating the roll of the bus body and the trailer during the circle motion are shown. In Figure 9, the values of loading and unloading of the bus wheels while performing the same manoeuvre are presented.

Based on the above-mentioned dependences, during the circle motion, the HCB’s body roll and the side loading exceed the indexes of the trailer coupling part. This is explained by the lower position of the trailer’s centre of mass ($h_g = 1.21$ m) compared with the bus ($h_g = 1.53$ m) [10].

The limiting angle of the lateral roll of rollover $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ characterizes the HCB’s stability when moving along a slope. According to the calculations, this angle is:
- for the bus $\gamma_{\text{busmax}} = 27.56^0$.
- for the trailer $\gamma_{\text{trailermax}} = 30.21^0$.

These angles considerably exceed the possible slopes on highways, that is, the transverse stability of the HCB is greatly ensured, especially for the coupling section, due to the low position of the centre of mass.
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3. CONCLUSIONS

In order to ensure safe traffic conditions, which are regulated by international and national rules and standards, the dynamic parameters, in particular, the stability and handling of the vehicles, are studied.

The parameters of the transverse stability of hybrid buses with active trailers are analyzed in accordance with the objective of the study. In this paper, the movement of the parts of HCBs in the vertical plane along the angles of rocking (pitch, trim) and the roll are assumed to affect the lateral movement mainly by changing the vertical loads on the wheels. The vertical reactions of the bearing surface are changed as well. The limiting angle of the side roll of the bus rollover is found to be \( \gamma_{\text{max}} = 27.56^\circ \), and the trailer rollover to be \( \gamma_{\text{max}} = 30.21^\circ \). Therefore, the transverse stability of HCBs with a hybrid power plant is ensured, since, according to the standard DSTU UN/ECE R 111-00, this angle should not exceed 25°.

In addition to technical factors, when talking about safety, it is important to remember about aspects related to bus passengers and their behavior [21-23].
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